

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/681,622	05/10/2001	Peter M. Will	06666/033002/USC 2857	4658		
20985 75	12/31/2002					
FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAM	EXAMINER		
SUITE 500	A VILLAGE DRIVE		SHAFER,	RICKY D		
SAN DIEGO, O	JA 92122		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2872	******		
			DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/31/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

Do	
----	--

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	plicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	09/681,622	Group Art Unit			
Office Action Summary					
	ROSHAFT	ir	2872	· · ·	
-Th MAILING DATE of this communication appears of	on the cover sheet be	neath the corres	spondence address-	_	
Period for Reply	4				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE Imount	_ MONTH(S) FF	ROM THE MAILING [DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replict NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturency and period by the Office later than three months after the mailing term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	ly within the statutory minir expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror te, cause the application to	num of thirty (30) da n the mailing date of become ABANDOI	ays will be considered tim of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nety.	
Status ,					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	23 02			<u></u> .	
☐ This action is FINAL.	·				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935.		ecution as to th	e merits is closed i	n u	
Disposition of Claims					
Claim(s) $1-29$ Of the above claim(s) $4, 8-18, 20, 21, 24,$		is/are pend	ing in the application.	•	
Of the above claim(s) 4, 8-18, 20, 21, 24,	25 AND 27	is/are witho	lrawn from considera	tion.	
☐ Claim(s)		is/are allow	ed.		
Claim(s)		is/are rejec	ted.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are objec	cted to.		
\bigcirc Claim(s) 1-3, 5-7, 19, 22, 23,	26,28 AND 29	are subject	to restriction or elect	ion	
Application Papers		requiremen	τ		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	• •	」 disapproved.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner				
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)					
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-	(d).			
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the:	ani sa d				
 □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been rec 			ŧ		
☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents	• •	•			
in this national stage application from the International I		a))			
*Certified copies not received:	•	••			
Attachment(s)					
☐ Information Disclosure Stat ment(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 Int	rview Summary	, PTO-413		
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	otice of Informal	Pat nt Application, P	TO-152		
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Pat int Drawing Revi w, PTO-948			.,		
Office Act	ion Summary				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-328 (Rev. 11/00)

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/681,622 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

1. The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126. Accordingly, the second occurrence of claim 21 has been renumbered as claim 22 and claims 22-28 have been renumbered as claims 23-29.

- 2. Applicant's election of species "A", depicted by Fig. 2, species 1, the mirror/reflector elements are each movable by different amounts, and species "x" the unmovable/plane mirror and the first and second shapes surfaces being flat in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 3. Claims 4, 8-18, 20, 21, 24, 25 and 27 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a non-elected invention. Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 6.

Claims 14-18 depend from claim 13 which is drawn to a nonelected species, as noted by applicant, due to the fact that the device include first and second sub array of movable mirrors which is not the case with the elected species "A".

Claims 20 and 21 are drawn to one of other species, such as figure 5a, due to the fact that each of the reflectors elements comprises a movable reflective membrane or first and second parts which is not the case with elected species "A".

Claims 24, 25 and 27 are withdrawn as noted by applicant as being drawn to a nonelected species.

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Application/Control Number: 09/681,622

Art Unit: 2872

- I. Claims 2, 3, 5, 26, 28 and 29, drawn to a method and an optical device comprising an array of movable reflector/mirror elements and a controller which particular reflector/mirror details (Bsp), classified in class 359, subclass 851.
- II. Claims 6, 7, 22 and 23, drawn to a method and an optical device comprising an array of movable reflector/mirror elements, a controller and an unmovable/plane mirror (ABbr), classified in class 359, subclass 857.
- 5. Claims 1 and 19 link(s) inventions I and II. The restriction requirement between the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim(s), claims 1 and 19. Upon the allowance of the linking claim(s), the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim(s) depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicant(s) are advised that if any such claim(s) depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim(s) is/are presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP §
- 6. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Application/Control Number: 09/681,622 Page 4

Art Unit: 2872

Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because of the omission of the particular reflector/mirror details. The subcombination has separate utility such as an optical device with an unmovable/plane mirror.

- 7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 8. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R.D. Shafer whose telephone number is (703) 308-4813.

RDS

December 29, 2002